arXiv:1205.6081v1 [math.CA] 28 May 2012

Criterions of Wiener type for minimally thin sets and rarefied sets associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator in a cone

Pinhong Long Zhiqiang Gao Guantie Deng[1]

School of Mathematical Science, Beijing Normal University,

Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems,

Beijing 100875, P.R.China

February 2012

---

[1] Corresponding author.

Email: hnlphlch10601@sina.com(P. H. Long), gaozhiq@gmail.com(Z. Q. Gao),

denggt@bnu.edu.cn(G. T.Deng). Supported by SRFDP(No. 20060027023) and NSF of China(No. 10671022 and No. 11101039).

Abstract

In the paper we give some criterions for a-minimally thin sets and a-rarefied sets associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator at a fixed Martin boundary point or ∞ with respect to a cone. Moreover, we show that a positive superfunction on a cone behaves regularly outside a-rarefied set. Finally we illustrate the relation between a-minimally thin set and a-rarefied set in a cone.

AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 31B05, 31B25, 31C35.

Key words and phrases: Stationary Schrödinger operator; superfunction; minimally thin; rarefied sets; cone.

1 Introduction

In the paper we are concerned with some properties for the generalized subharmonic function associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator. we will give the characterization for minimally thin sets and rarefied sets about these generalized subharmonic function. The study of the minimal thinnes had been exploited a little and attracted many mathematicians. In [14] Lelong-Ferrand firstly studied the thinness at boundary points for the subharmonic functions, then L.Naïm in [18] obtained the equivalent conditions as the criterions for minimally thin sets at a fixed boundary point with respect to T_n. D.H.Armatage and S.J.Gardiner in [4] systematically reviewed these theoretical production. Based on the research of Lelong-Ferrand, in [9] M.Essén and H.L.Jackson knew the criterions for minimally thin sets at ∞ with respect to T_n and introduced the criterions for rarefied sets at ∞ with respect to T_n. Since a rarefied set at ∞ with respect to T_n is also minimally thin set at ∞ with respect to T_n, M.Essén and H.L.Jackson in [9] extended Lelong-Ferrand's theorem (from [14]) to much stronger conclusion. They remarked that by these criterions there exist some connection between both exceptional sets. Next I.Miyamoto and H.Yoshida in [17] extended these results(from M.Essén and H.L.Jackson [9]) from T_n to the conical case. In view of the above statement, we shall give some criterions of Wiener type for a-minimally thin sets at a fixed Martin boundary point with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ which extend L.Naïm's results(see [4 or 16]). Similarly we shall give some criterions of Wiener type for a-minimally thin sets and a-rarefied sets at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$. Moreover, we generalize some theorems (Theorems 3 and 4 from I.Miyamoto and H.Yoshida[17])to the results associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator which are the crucial part in our paper. To state our results, we will need some notations and background materials below.

Let $\mathbf{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ be the n-dimensional Euclidean space and $\mathbf{S}$ its an open set. The boundary and the closure of $\mathbf{S}$ are denoted by $\partial\mathbf{S}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{S}}$, respectively. In cartesian coordinate a point P is denoted by (X, x_n), where $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1})$. Let $|P|$ be the Euclidean norm of P and $|P-Q|$ the Euclidean distance of two points P and Q in $\mathbf{R}^n$. The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere are denoted by $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}_+$, respectively. For $P \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $B(P, r)$ be the open ball of radius r centered at P in $\mathbf{R}^n$, then $S_r = \partial B(O, r)$. Furthermore,we denote by dS_r the $(n-1)$-dimensional volume elements induced by the Euclidean metric on S_r.

We introduce the system of spherical coordinates for $P = (X, y)$ by the following formulas

$$x_1 = r \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin\theta_j \quad (n \geq 2), \quad y = r\cos\theta_1$$

and if $n \geq 3$,

$$x_{n-k+1} = r\cos\theta_k \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \sin\theta_j \quad (2 \leq n \geq n-1),$$

where $0 \leq r < \infty$, $0 \leq \theta_j \leq \pi (1 \leq j \leq n-2)$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta_{n-1} \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}$.

Relative to the system of spherical coordinates, the Laplace operator Δ may be written

$$\Delta = \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\Delta^*}{r^2},$$

where the explicit form of the Beltrami operator Δ^* is given by V.Azarin(see[2]).

Let D be an arbitrary domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and $\mathscr{A}_a$ denotes the class of nonnegative radial potentials $a(P)$, i.e. $0 \leq a(P) = a(r)$, $P = (r, \Theta) \in D$, such that $a \in L^b_{loc}(D)$ with some $b > n/2$ if $n \geq 4$ and with $b = 2$ if $n = 2$ or $n = 3$.

If $a \in \mathscr{A}_a$, then the stationary Schrödinger operator with a potential $a(P)$

$$\mathcal{L}_a = -\Delta + a(P)I \tag{1.1}$$

can be extended in the usual way from the space $C_0^\infty(D)$ to an essentially self-adjoint operator on $L^2(D)$, where Δ is the Laplace operator and I the identical operator(see [21, Chap. 13]). Then $\mathcal{L}_a$ has a Green a-function $G^a_D(P, Q)$. Here $G^a_D(P, Q)$ is positive on D and its inner normal derivative $\partial G^a_D(P, Q)/\partial n_Q$ is not negative, where $\partial/\partial n_Q$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into D. We write this derivative by $PI^a_D(P, Q)$, which is called the Poisson a-kernel with respect to D. Denote by $G^0_D(P, Q)$ the Green function of Laplacian. It is well known that, for any potential $a(P) \geq 0$,

$$G^a_D(P, Q) \leq G^0_D(P, Q). \tag{1.2}$$

The inverse inequality is much more elaborate if D is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$. M.Cranston, E.Fabes and Z.Zhao (see [6], the case $n = 2$ is implicitly contained in [7]) have proved

$$G^a_D(P, Q) \geq M(D) G^0_D(P, Q), \tag{1.3}$$

where D is a bounded domain, a constant $M(D) = M(D, a(P))$ is positive and does not depend on points P and Q in D. If $a = 0$, then obviously $M(D) \equiv 1$.

Suppose that a function $u \not\equiv -\infty$ is upper semi-continuous in D. We call $u \in [-\infty, +\infty)$ a subfunction of the Schrödinger operator $\mathcal{L}_a$ if at each point $P \in D$ with $0 < r < r(P)$ the generalized mean-value inequality

$$u(P) \leq \int_{S(P,r)} u(Q) \frac{\partial G^a_{B(P,r)}(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\sigma(Q) \tag{1.4}$$

is satisfied, where $S(P,r) = \partial B(P,r)$, $G^a_{B(P,r)}(P,Q)$ is the Green a-function of Sch_a in $B(P,r)$ and $d\sigma(Q)$ the surface area element on $S(P,r)$(see [20]).

The class of subfunctions in D is denoted by $SbH(a, D)$. If $-u \in SbH(a, D)$, then we call u a superfunction and denote the class of superfunctions by $SpH(a, D)$. If a function u is both subfunction and superfunction, it is clearly continuous and is called an a-harmonic function associated with the operator Sch_a. The class of a-harmonic functions is denoted by $H(a, D) = SbH(a, D) \cap SpH(a, D)$. In terminology we follow B.Ya.Levin and A.Kheyfits (see [11], [13] and [15]). For simplicity, a point $(1, \Theta)$ on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and the set $\{\Theta; (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ for a set Ω ($\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$) are often identified with Θ and Ω, respectively. For two sets $\Xi \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, the set $\{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n; r \in \Xi, (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ is simply denoted by $\Xi \times \Omega$. In particular, the half space $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{S}^{n-1}_+ = \{(X, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n; x_n > 0\}$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{T}_n$. By $C_n(\Omega)$ we denote the set $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \Omega$ in $\mathbf{R}^n$ with the domain Ω on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and call it a cone. We mean the sets $I \times \Omega$ and $I \times \partial\Omega$ with an interval on $\mathbf{R}_+$ by $C_n(\Omega; I)$ and $S_n(\Omega; I)$, and $C_n(\Omega) \cap S_r$ by $S_n(\Omega; r)$. By $S_n(\Omega)$ we denote $S_n(\Omega; (0, +\infty))$, which is $\partial C_n(\Omega) - \{O\}$. From now on, we always assume $D = C_n(\Omega)$ and write $G^a_\Omega(P,Q)$ instead of $G^a_{C_n(\Omega)}(P,Q)$.

Let Ω be a domain on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ with smooth boundary and λ the least positive eigenvalue for Δ^* on Ω (see [22, p. 41])

$$(\Delta^* + \lambda)\varphi(\Theta) = 0 \quad \text{on} \ \ \Omega, \tag{1.5}$$

$$\varphi(\Theta) = 0 \quad \text{on} \ \ \partial\Omega.$$

The corresponding eigenfunction is denoted by $\varphi(\Theta)$ satisfying $\int_\Omega \varphi^2(\Theta) dS_1 = 1$. In order to ensure the existence of λ and a smooth $\varphi(\Theta)$, We put a rather strong assumption on Ω: if $n \geq 3$, then Ω is a $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain ($0 < \alpha < 1$) on $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ surrounded by a finite number of mutually disjoint closed hypersurfaces (e.g. see [12, p. 88-89] for the definition of $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain).

Solutions of an ordinary differential equation

$$-Q''(r) - \frac{n-1}{r}Q'(r) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{r^2} + a(r)\right)Q(r) = 0, \quad for\ 0 < r < \infty \tag{1.6}$$

are known (see[24] for more references) that if the potential $a \in \mathscr{A}_a$. We know the equation (1.6) has a fundamental system of positive solutions $\{V, W\}$ such that V is nondecreasing with

$$0 \leq V(0+) \leq V(r) \ \text{ as } \ r \to +\infty \tag{1.7}$$

and W is monotonically decreasing with

$$+\infty = W(0+) > W(r) \searrow 0 \ \text{ as } \ r \to +\infty. \tag{1.8}$$

We remark that both $V(r)\varphi(\Theta)$ and $W(r)\varphi(\Theta)$ are harmonic on $C_n(\Omega)$ and vanish continuously on $S_n(\Omega)$.

We will also consider the class $\mathscr{B}_a$, consisting of the potentials $a \in \mathscr{A}_a$ such that there exists the finite limit $\lim\limits_{r\to\infty} r^2a(r) = \kappa \in [0, \infty)$, moreover, $r^{-1}|r^2a(r) - \kappa| \in L(1, \infty)$. If $a \in \mathscr{B}_a$, then the (super)subfunctions are continuous (e.g. see [23]). For simplicity, in the rest of paper we assume that $a \in \mathscr{B}_a$ and we shall suppress this assumption.

Denote

$$\iota_\kappa^{\pm} = \frac{2 - n \pm \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 4(\kappa + \lambda)}}{2},$$

then the solutions $V(r)$ and $W(r)$ to the equation (1.6) normalized by $V(1) = W(1) = 1$ have the asymptotic(see[12])

$$V(r) \approx r^{\iota_\kappa^+}, \quad W(r) \approx r^{\iota_\kappa^-}, \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\chi = \iota_\kappa^+ - \iota_\kappa^- = \sqrt{(n-2)^2 + 4(\kappa + \lambda)}, \quad \chi' = \omega(V(r), W(r))\mid_{r=1}, \tag{1.10}$$

where χ' is their Wronskian at $r = 1$.

Remark 1. If $a = 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbf{S}_+^{n-1}$, then $\iota_0^+ = 1$, $\iota_0^- = 1 - n$ and $\varphi(\Theta) = (2ns_n^{-1})^{1/2}cos\theta_1$, where s_n is the surface area $2\pi^{n/2}\{\Gamma(n/2)\}^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$.

R.S.Martin introduced the family with parameter of functions that was called Martin functions later(see M.Brelot[5] or R.S.Martin[16]). Now we introduce the Martin function M^a_Ω associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator as follows

The function M^a_Ω defined on $C_n(\Omega)\times C_n(\Omega)-(P_0,P_0)$ by

$$M^a_\Omega(P,Q)=\frac{G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,Q)}$$

is called the generalized Martin Kernel of $C_n(\Omega)$ (relative to P_0). If $Q=P_0$, the above quotient is interpreted as 0(for a=0, we refer to D.H.Armitage and S.Gardiner[4]).

2 Statements of main results

First we remark that

$$C_1V(r)W(t)\varphi(\Theta)\varphi(\Phi)\leq G^a_\Omega(P,Q)\leq C_2V(r)W(t)\varphi(\Theta)\varphi(\Phi) \tag{2.1}$$

or

$$C_1V(t)W(r)\varphi(\Theta)\varphi(\Phi)\leq G^a_\Omega(P,Q)\leq C_2V(t)W(r)\varphi(\Theta)\varphi(\Phi) \tag{2.2}$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega)$ and any $Q=(t,\Phi)\in C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying $0<\frac{r}{t}\leq\frac{4}{5}$ or $0<\frac{t}{r}\leq\frac{4}{5}$, where C_1 and C_2 are two positive constants(See A.Escassut, W.Tutschke and C.C.Yang[11, Chap. 11] and for $a=0$, see V.S.Azarin [2, Lemma 1], M.Essén and J.L.Lewis [10, Lemma 2]). It is known that the Martin boundary $\triangle$ of $C_n(\Omega)$ is the set $\partial C_n(\Omega)\cup\{\infty\}$. When we denote the Martin kernel associated with the stationary Schrödinger operator by $M^a_\Omega(P,Q)(P\in C_n(\Omega),Q\in\partial C_n(\Omega)\cup\{\infty\})$ with respect to a reference point chosen suitably, for any $P\in C_n(\Omega)$, we see

$$M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)=V(r)\varphi(\Theta),\quad M^a_\Omega(P,O)=\kappa W(r)\varphi(\Theta),$$

where O denotes the origin of $\mathbf{R^n}$ and κ is a positive constant. Let E be a subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ and $u\geq 0$ be a superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$. The reduced function of u is defined as

$$R^E_u(P)=\inf\{\upsilon(P):\upsilon\in\Phi^E_u\},$$

where

$$\Phi^E_u=\{\upsilon\in SpH(a,C_n(\Omega)):u\geq 0\ and\ \upsilon\geq u\ on\ E\}.$$

By $\widehat{R}_u^E$ we denote the regularized reduced function of u relative to E, here

$$\widehat{R}_u^E(P) = \liminf_{P' \to P} R_u^E(P').$$

Compared with the regularization of a superharmonic function, we easily know that $\widehat{R}_u^E$ is a superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$.

If $E \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$ and $Q \in \triangle$, then the Riesz decomposition and the generalized Martin representation allow us to express $\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ uniquely in the form $G^a_\Omega\mu + M^a_\Omega\nu$, where $G^a_\Omega\mu$ and $M^a_\Omega\nu$ are the generalized Green potential and generalized Martin representation. We say that E is a-minimally thin at Q with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ if $\nu(\{Q\}) = 0$. at last We remark that $\triangle_0 = \{Q \in \triangle : C_n(\Omega)\ is\ a-minimally\ thin\ at\ Q\}$, where $\triangle$ is the Martin boundary of $C_n(\Omega)$. Next we start to sate our main theorems

Theorem 1. Let $E \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$ and a fixed point $Q \in \triangle \setminus \triangle_0$. The following are equivalent

(a) E is a-minimally thin at Q;

(b) $\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \neq M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$;

(c) $\inf\{\widehat{R}^{E\cap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} : \omega\ is\ a\ Martin\ topology\ neighbourhood\ of\ Q\} = 0$.

If u is a positive superfunction, then we shall write μ_u for the measure appearing in the generalized Martin representation of the greatest a-harmonic minorant of u.

Theorem 2. Let $E \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$ and a fixed point $Q \in \triangle \setminus \triangle_0$. Suppose that Q is a Martin topology limit of E. The following are equivalent

(a) E is a-minimally thin at Q;

(b) there exists a positive superfunction u such that

$$\liminf_{P\to Q, P\in E} \frac{u(P)}{M^a_\Omega(P,Q)} > \mu_u(\{Q\});$$

(c) there is a a-potential u on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{u(P)}{M^a_\Omega(P,Q)} \to \infty \quad (P \to Q; P \in E).$$

Let E be a bounded subset of $C_n(\Omega)$. Then $\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P)$ is bounded on $C_n(\Omega)$ and hence the greatest a-harmonic minorant of $\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P)$ is zero. By the Riesz decomposition theorem that there exists a unique positive measure λ_E on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) = G^a_\Omega \lambda_E(P) \tag{2.3}$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and λ_E is concentrated on B_E , where

$$B_E = \{P \in C_n(\Omega) : E \textit{ is not thin at } P\}.$$

For $a = 0$, we see M.Brelot[5] and J.L.Doob[8]. According to the Fatou's lemma, we easily know the condition (b) in Theorem 3 and (2.6) below.

Theorem 3. Let $E \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$ and a fixed point $Q \in \triangle \setminus \triangle_0$. Suppose that Q is a Martin topology limit point of E. The following are equivalent

(a) E is a-minimally thin at Q;

(b) there is a a-potential $G^a_\Omega \mu$ such that

$$\liminf_{P \to Q, P \in E} \frac{G^a_\Omega \mu(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0, P)} > \int M^a_\Omega(P, Q) d\mu(P); \tag{2.4}$$

(c) there is a a-potential $G^a_\Omega \mu'$ such that $\int M^a_\Omega(P, Q) d\mu'(P) < \infty$ and

$$\frac{G^a_\Omega \mu'(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0, P)} \to \infty \ \ (P \to Q; P \in E). \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 4. Let $E \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$, $Q_0 \in C_n(\Omega)$and a fixed point $Q \in \triangle \setminus \triangle_0$. Suppose that Q is a Martin topology limit point of E. Then E is a-minimally thin at Q if and only if there exists a positive superfunction u such that

$$\liminf_{P \to Q, P \in E} \frac{u(P)}{G^a_\Omega(Q_0, P)} > \liminf_{P \to Q} \frac{u(P)}{G^a_\Omega(Q_0, P)}. \tag{2.6}$$

The generalized Green energy $\gamma^a_\Omega(E)$ of λ_E is defined by

$$\gamma^a_\Omega(E) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} (G^a_\Omega \lambda_E) d\lambda_E. \tag{2.7}$$

Let E be a subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ and $E_k = E \cap I_k(\Omega)$, where $I_k(\Omega) = \{P = (r, \Omega) \in C_n(\Omega) : 2^k \leq r \leq 2^{k+1}\}$. The above theorems are concerned with the fixed boundary points. Next we will consider the cases at infinity.

Theorem 5. A subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) W(2^k) V^{-1}(2^k) < \infty. \tag{2.8}$$

A subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is said to be a-rarefied at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, if there exists a positive superfunction $\upsilon(P)$ in $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\upsilon(P)}{M_{\Omega}^{a}(P,\infty)} \equiv 0$$

and

$$E \subset H_{\upsilon},$$

where

$$H_{\upsilon} = \{P = (r,\Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) : \upsilon(P) \geq V(r)\}.$$

Theorem 6. A subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is a-rarefied at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W(2^k) \lambda_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2. When $a = 0$, Theorems 5 and 6 belong to I.Miyamoto and H.Yoshida[17]. When $a = 0$ and $\Omega = \mathbf{S}_{+}^{\mathbf{n-1}}$, these are exactly the results by H.Aikawa and M.Essén[3].

Set

$$c(\upsilon, a) = \inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\upsilon(P)}{M_{\Omega}^{a}(P,\infty)} \equiv 0$$

for a positive superfunction $\upsilon(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$. We immediately know that $c(\upsilon, a) < \infty$. Actually let $u(P)$ be a subfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{P \to Q, P \in C_n(\Omega)} u(P) \leq 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for any $Q \in \partial C_n(\Omega) \setminus \{O\}$ and

$$\sup_{P=(r,\Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{u(P)}{V(r)\varphi(\Theta)} = \ell(a) < \infty. \tag{2.11}$$

Then we see $\ell(a) > -\infty$(for $a = 0$, see H.Yoshida [25]). If we apply this to $u = -\upsilon$, we may obtain $c(\upsilon, a) < \infty$.

Theorem 7. Let $\upsilon(P)$ be a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$. Then there exists a a-rarefied set E at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $\upsilon(P)V^{-1}(r)$ uniformly converges to $c(\upsilon, a)\varphi(\Theta)$ on $C_n(\Omega)\diagdown E$ as $r \to \infty$, where $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$.

From the definition of a-rarefied set, we see that the following fact: given any a-rarefied set E at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ there exists a positive superfunction $\upsilon(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $\upsilon(P)V^{-1}(r) \geq 1$ on E and $c(\upsilon, a) = 0$. Hence $\upsilon(P)V^{-1}(r)$ does not converge to $c(\upsilon, a)\varphi(\Theta) = 0$ on E as $r \to \infty$.

Let $u(P)$ be a subfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying (2.10) and (2.11). Then

$$\upsilon(P) = \ell(a)V(r)\varphi(\Theta) - u(P) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega))$$

is a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $c(\upsilon, a) = 0$. If we apply theorem 7 to this $\upsilon(P)$, then we obtain the following corollary

Corollary. Let $u(P)$ be a subfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying (2.10) and (2.11) for $P \in C_n(\Omega)$. Then there exists a a-rarefied set E at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $\upsilon(P)V^{-1}(r)$ uniformly converges to $\ell(a)\varphi(\Theta)$ on $C_n(\Omega)\diagdown E$ as $r \to \infty$, where $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$.

A cone $C_n(\Omega')$ is called a subcone of $C_n(\Omega)$ if $\overline{\Omega'} \subset \Omega$ where $\overline{\Omega'}$ is the closure of $\Omega' \subset \mathbf{S^{n-1}}$

Theorem 8. Let E be a subset of $C_n(\Omega)$. If E is a a-rarefied set at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, then E is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$. If E is contained in a subcone of $C_n(\Omega)$ and E is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, then E is a-rarefied set at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

3 Some Lemmas

In our arguments we need the following results

Lemma 1. Let $E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_m \subseteq C_n(\Omega)$ and $Q \in \triangle$.

(i) If $E_1 \subseteq E_2$ and E_2 is a-minimally thin at Q, then E_1 is a-minimally thin at Q;

(ii) If $E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_m$ are a-minimally thin at Q, then $\bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k$ is a-minimally thin at Q;

(iii) If E_1 is a-minimally thin at Q, then there is an open subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $E_1 \subseteq E$

and E is a-minimally thin at Q.

Proof. Since $\widehat{R}^{E_1}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \leq \widehat{R}^{E_2}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$, we see (i) holds. To prove (ii) we note that $\widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ is a a-potential for each k and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \geq M^a_\Omega(.,Q) \quad quasi-everywhere\ on\ \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} E_k,$$

so $\widehat{R}^{\bigcup_k E_k}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ is a a-potential. Finally, To prove (iii), let $u = \widehat{R}^{E_1}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$. Then u is a a-potential and $u \geq M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$ on $E_1 \setminus F$ for some polar set F. Let v be a non-zero a-potential such that $v = \infty$ on F and let

$$Z = \{P \in C_n(\Omega) : u(P) + v(P) \geq M^a_\Omega(P,Q)\}.$$

Then Z is open, $E_1 \subseteq Z$ and $R^Z_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \leq u + v$, so $R^Z_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ is a a-potential and Z is a-minimally thin at Q.

Lemma 2.[19]

$$\frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} \approx t^{-1}V(t)W(r)\varphi(\Theta)\frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} \tag{3.1}$$

$$(\text{resp. } \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} \approx V(r)t^{-1}W(t)\varphi(\Theta)\frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}) \tag{3.2}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$ and any $Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega)$ satisfying $0 < \frac{t}{r} \leq \frac{4}{5}$ (resp. $0 < \frac{r}{t} \leq \frac{4}{5}$);

$$\frac{\partial G^0_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} \lesssim \frac{\varphi(\Theta)}{t^{n-1}}\frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} + \frac{r\varphi(\Theta)}{|P-Q|^n}\frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$ and any $Q = (t, \Phi) \in S_n(\Omega; (\frac{4}{5}r, \frac{5}{4}r))$.

Lemma 3.[19] Let μ be a positive measure on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that there is a sequence of points $P_i = (r_i, \Theta_i) \in C_n(\Omega)$ $r_i \to \infty (i \to \infty)$ satisfying

$$G^a_\Omega \mu(P_i) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P_i, Q) d\mu(t, \Phi) < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$; $Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega)$. Then for a positive number ℓ

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega;\ell,\infty)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi) d\mu(t, \Phi) < \infty \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{W(R)}{V(R)} \int_{C_n(\Omega;0,R)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi) d\mu(t, \Phi) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 4.[19] Let ν be a positive measure on $S_n(\Omega)$ such that there is a sequence of points $P_i = (r_i, \Theta_i) \in C_n(\Omega)$ $r_i \to \infty (i \to \infty)$ satisfying

$$\int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P_i, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q) < \infty$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$; $Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega)$. Then for a positive number ℓ

$$\int_{S_n(\Omega;\ell,\infty)} W(t)t^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\nu(t, \Phi) < \infty \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} \frac{W(R)}{V(R)} \int_{S_n(\Omega;0,R)} V(t)t^{-1} \frac{\partial \varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\nu(t, \Phi) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 5. Let μ be a positive measure on $C_n(\Omega)$ for which $G^a_\Omega \mu(P)$ is defined. Then for any positive number A the set

$$\{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) : G^a_\Omega \mu(P) \geq AV(r)\varphi(\Theta)\}$$

is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

Lemma 6. Let $\upsilon(P)$ be a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ and put

$$c(\upsilon, a) = \inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\upsilon(P)}{M^a_\Omega(P, \infty)}; \quad c_0(\upsilon, a) = \inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\upsilon(P)}{M^a_\Omega(P, O)}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then there are a unique positive measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ and a unique positive measure ν on $S_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\upsilon(P) = c(\upsilon, a)M^a_\Omega(P, \infty) + c_o(\upsilon, a)M^a_\Omega(P, O) + \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P, Q)d\mu(Q) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q),$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_Q}$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into $C_n(\Omega)$.

Proof. By the Riesz decomposition theorem, we have a unique measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\upsilon(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P, Q)d\mu(Q) + h(P) \quad (P \in C_n(\Omega)), \tag{3.9}$$

where h is the greatest a-harmonic minorant of υ on $C_n(\Omega)$. Furthermore, by the generalized Martin representation theorem we have with another positive measure ν' on $\partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$

$$h(P) = \int_{\partial C_n(\Omega)\cup\{\infty\}} M^a_\Omega(P, Q)d\nu'(Q)$$

$$= M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)\nu'(\{\infty\}) + M^a_\Omega(P,O)\nu'(\{O\})$$

$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)} M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\nu'(Q) \quad (P\in C_n(\Omega)).$$

We know from (3.9) that $\nu'(\{\infty\}) = c(\upsilon,a)$ and $\nu'(\{O\}) = c_o(\upsilon,a)$.

Since

$$M^a_\Omega(P,Q) = \lim_{P_1\to Q, P_1\in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{G^a_\Omega(P,P_1)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P_1)} = \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} \Big/ \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P_0,Q)}{\partial n_Q}, \tag{3.10}$$

where P_0 is a fixed reference point of the Martin kernel, we also obtain

$$h(P) = c(\upsilon,a)M^a_\Omega(P,\infty) + c_o(\upsilon,a)M^a_\Omega(P,O)$$

$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q) \quad (P\in C_n(\Omega)) \tag{3.11}$$

by taking

$$d\nu(Q) = \left\{\frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P_0,Q)}{\partial n_Q}\right\}^{-1} d\nu'(Q) \quad (Q\in S_n(\Omega)).$$

Hence by (3.9)and (3.11) we get the required.

Lemma 7. Let E be a bounded subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ and $u(P)$ be a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $u(P)$ is represented as

$$u(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\mu_u(Q) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu_u(Q) \tag{3.12}$$

with two positive measures $\mu_u(Q)$ and $\nu_u(Q)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ and $S_n(\Omega)$ respectively, and satisfies $u(P)\geq 1$ for any $P\in E$. Then

$$\lambda(E) \leq \int_{C_n(\Omega)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_u(t,\Phi) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} V(t)t^{-1}\varphi(\Phi)d\nu_u(t,\Phi). \tag{3.13}$$

When $u(P) = \widehat{R}^E_1(P)(P\in C_n(\Omega))$, the equality holds in (3.13).

Proof. Since λ_E is concentrated on B_E and $u(P)\geq 1$ for any $P\in B_E$, we see that

$$\lambda^a_\Omega(E) \leq \int_{C_n(\Omega)} d\lambda_E(P) \leq \int_{C_n(\Omega)} u(P)d\lambda_E(P)$$

$$\leq \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(\cdot,\infty)} d\mu_u(Q)$$

$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)} \left(\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\lambda_E(P)\right) d\nu_u(Q). \tag{3.14}$$

In addition we have

$$\widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(Q) \leq M^a_\Omega(Q,\infty) = V(t)\varphi(\Phi) \quad (Q=(t,\Phi)\in C_n(\Omega)). \tag{3.15}$$

Since

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\lambda_E(P) \leq \liminf_{\rho\to 0} \frac{1}{\rho}\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P,P_\rho)d\lambda_E(P)$$

for any $Q \in S_n(\Omega)$ $(P_\rho = (r_\rho,\Theta_\rho) = Q+\rho n_Q \in C_n(\Omega))$, n_Q is the inward normal unit vector at Q and

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P,P_\rho)d\lambda_E(P) = \widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P_\rho) \leq M^a_\Omega(P_\rho,\infty) = V(r_\rho)\varphi(\Phi_\rho),$$

we have

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\lambda_E(P) \leq V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_Q} \tag{3.16}$$

for any $Q=(t,\Phi)\in S_n(\Omega)$. Thus we obtain (3.13). Because $\widehat{R}^E_1(P)$ is bounded on $C_n(\Omega)$, $u(P)$ has the expression (3.12) by the Lemma 6 when $u(P)=\widehat{R}^E_1(P)$. Then we easily have the equalities only in (3.14) because $\widehat{R}^E_1(P)=1$ for any $P\in B_E$(J.L.Doob[8 p.169]). Hence we claim if

$$\mu_u(\{P\in C_n(\Omega) : \widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) < M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)\}) = 0 \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\nu_u(\{Q=(t,\Phi)\in S_n(\Omega) : \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\lambda_E(P) \leq V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_Q}\}) = 0, \tag{3.18}$$

then the equality in (3.13) holds.

To see (3.17) we remark that

$$\{P\in C_n(\Omega) : \widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) < M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)\} \subset C_n(\Omega)\diagdown B_E$$

and

$$\mu_u(C_n(\Omega)\diagdown B_E) = 0.$$

To prove (3.18) we set

$$B'_E = \{Q\in S_n(\Omega) : E \ is \ not \ a-minimally \ thin \ at \ Q\} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$e = \{P\in E : \widehat{R}^E_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) < M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)\}. \tag{3.20}$$

Then e is a apolar set and hence for any $Q \in S_n(\Omega)$

$$\widehat{R}^{E}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} = \widehat{R}^{E\setminus e}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}.$$

Consequently, for any $Q \in B'_E$ $E\setminus e$ is not also a-minimally thin at Q and

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\eta(P) = \lim_{P'\to Q, P'\in E\setminus e} \int_{C_n(\Omega)} M^a_\Omega(P,P')d\eta(P) \tag{3.21}$$

for any positive measure η on $C_n(\Omega)$, where

$$M^a_\Omega(P,P') = \frac{G^a_\Omega(P,P')}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P')} \quad (P \in C_n(\Omega), P' \in C_n(\Omega)).$$

Now we take $\eta = \mu_E$ in (3.21). Since

$$\lim_{P\to Q, P\in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{M^a_\Omega(P,\infty)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} = V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}\left\{\frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P_0,Q)}{\partial N_Q}\right\}^{-1} \quad (Q = (t,\Phi) \in S_n(\Omega)),$$

we obtain from (3.10)

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\mu_E(P) = V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} \liminf_{P'\to Q, P'\in E\setminus e} \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{G^a_\Omega(P,P')}{M^a_\Omega(P',\infty)} d\mu_E(P) \tag{3.22}$$

for any $Q \in (t,\Phi) \in B'_E$. Since

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{G^a_\Omega(P,P')}{M^a_\Omega(P',\infty)} d\mu_E(P) = \frac{1}{M^a_\Omega(P',\infty)} \widehat{R}^{E}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P') = 1$$

for any $P' \in E\setminus e$, we have

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\mu_E(P) = V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}$$

for any $Q = (t,\Phi) \in B'_E$, which shows

$$\{Q = (t,\Phi) \in S_n(\Omega) : \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P,Q)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\mu_E(P) < V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}\} \subset S_n(\Omega)\setminus B'_E. \tag{3.23}$$

Let h be the greatest a-harmonic minorant of $u(P) = \widehat{R}^E_1(P)$ and ν'_u be the generalized Martin representing measure of h, we can prove that

$$\widehat{R}^E_h(P) = h \tag{3.24}$$

on $C_n(\Omega)$, then $\nu'_u(S_n(\Omega)\setminus B'_E) = 0$. Since

$$d\nu'_u(Q) = \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P_0,Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu_u(Q) \quad (Q \in S_n(\Omega))$$

from (3.10), we also have $\nu_u(S_n(\Omega)\setminus B'_E)=0$, which gives (3.18) from (3.23).

To prove (3.24), we set $u^*=\widehat{R}_1^E(P)-h$. Then

$$u^*+h=\widehat{R}_1^E=\widehat{R}_{u^*+h}^E\leq\widehat{R}_{u^*}^E+\widehat{R}_h^E$$

and hence

$$\widehat{R}_h^E-h\geq u^*-\widehat{R}_{u^*}^E\geq 0$$

from which (3.24) follows.

Lemma 8 (The generalized Martin representation). If u is a positive a-harmonic function on $C_n(\Omega)$, then there exists a measure μ_u on $\triangle$, uniquely determined by u, such that $\mu_u(\triangle_0)=0$ and

$$u(P)=\int_\triangle M_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu_u(Q)\qquad(P\in C_n(\Omega)),$$

where $\triangle_0$ is the same as introduction.

Remark 3. Following the same method of D.H.Armitage and S.J.Gardiner[4] for Martin representation we may easily prove Lemma 8.

4 Proof of the Theorems

Proof of the Theorem 1. First we assume that (b) holds and let $u=\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^E$. Since $M_\Omega^a(.,Q)$ is minimal, the Riesz decomposition of u is of the form $\upsilon+\ell M_\Omega^a(.,Q)$, where υ is a potential with the stationary Schrödinger operator on $C_n(\Omega)$ and $0<\ell<1$. Since $u=M_\Omega^a(.,Q)$ quasi-everywhere on E and $\widehat{R}_\upsilon^E+\ell u=\upsilon+\ell M_\Omega^a(.,Q)=u$ quasi-everywhere on E,

$$\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^E=\widehat{R}_u^E\leq\widehat{R}_\upsilon^E+\ell u\leq\upsilon+\ell M_\Omega^a(.,Q)=\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^E.\tag{4.1}$$

Hence $\ell(M_\Omega^a(.,Q)-u)\equiv 0$, so $\ell=0$ by the hypothesis and (a) holds.

Next we assume (a) holds and let ω_m be a decreasing sequence of compact neighborhoods of Q in the Martin topology such that $\bigcap_m\omega_m=\{Q\}$. Then $\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^{E\bigcap\omega_m}$ is a-harmonic on $C_n(\Omega)\setminus\omega_m$ and the decreasing sequence $\{\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^{E\bigcap\omega_m}\}$ has a limit h which is a-harmonic on $C_n(\Omega)$. Since h is majorized by the potential $\widehat{R}_{M_\Omega^a(.,Q)}^E$, it follows that $h\equiv 0$ and (c) follows.

Finally we assume (c) holds, then there is a Martin topology neighborhood ω of Q such that $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \neq M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$. Since (b) implies (a), the set $E\bigcap\omega$ is a-minimally thin at Q and so $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ is a a-potential. Then $\widehat{R}^{E}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$ is a a-potential and we yields (b).

Proof of the Theorem 2. Obviously we see that (c) implies (b). If (b) holds, then there exist $\ell > \mu_u(\{Q\})$ and a Martin topology neighborhood ω of Q such that $u \geq \ell M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$ on $E\bigcap\omega$. If $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} = M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$, then $u \geq \widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega}_{u} \geq \ell M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$ and this yields contradictory conclusion that $\mu_u = \ell\delta_Q + \mu_{u-\ell M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} > \mu_u(\{Q\})\delta_Q$, where δ_Q is the unit measure with support $\{Q\}$. Hence $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} \neq M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$. Thus $E\bigcap\omega$ is a-minimally thin at Q and so (a) holds.

Finally we assume (a) holds. By Lemma 1 there is an open subset U of $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $E \subseteq U$ and U is a-minimally thin at Q. By Theorem 1 there is a sequence $\{\omega_m\}$ of Martin topology open neighborhoods of Q such that $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega_m}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}(P_0) < 2^{-m}$. The function $u_1 = \sum_n \widehat{R}^{U\bigcap\omega_m}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$, being a sum of a-potentials, is a a-potential since $u_1(P_0) < \infty$. Further, since $\widehat{R}^{E\bigcap\omega_m}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)} = M^a_\Omega(.,Q)$ on the open set $E\bigcap\omega_m$,

$$\frac{u_1(P)}{M^a_\Omega(P,Q)} \to \infty \ \ (P \to Q; P \in U)$$

and so (c) holds.

Proof of the Theorem 3. Clearly (c) implies (b). To prove that (b) implies (a), we suppose that (b) holds and choose A such that

$$\liminf_{P\to Q, P\in E} \frac{G^a_\Omega\mu(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} > A > \int M^a_\Omega(.,Q)d\mu.$$

Then $G^a_\Omega\mu > AG^a_\Omega(P_0,.)$ on $E\cap\omega$ for some Martin topology neighborhood ω of Q. If ν denotes the swept measure of δ_{P_0} onto $E\cap\omega$, where δ_{P_0} is the unit measure with support $\{P_0\}$, then it follows that

$$G^a_\Omega\mu \geq A\widehat{R}^{E\cap\omega}_{G^a_\Omega(P_0,.)} = AG^a_\Omega\nu$$

on $C_n(\Omega)$. Let $\{K_n\}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $\bigcup_n K_n = C_n(\Omega)$ and let $G^a_\Omega\mu_n$ denotes the a-potential $\widehat{R}^{K_n}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}$. Then

$$\int \widehat{R}^{K_n}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}d\nu = \int G^a_\Omega\nu d\mu_n \leq A^{-1}\int G^a_\Omega\mu d\mu_n = A^{-1}\int \widehat{R}^{K_n}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}d\mu.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we see from our choice of A that

$$\widehat{R}^{E\cap\omega}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}(P_0) = \int M^a_\Omega(.,Q)d\nu \le A^{-1}\int M^a_\Omega(.,Q)d\mu < 1 = M^a_\Omega(P_0,Q),$$

then $E\cap\omega$ is a-minimally thin at Q by Theorem 1 and so (a) holds.

Next we suppose that (a) holds. By Lemma 1 there is an open subset U of $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $E \subseteq U$ and U is a-minimally thin at Q. By Theorem 1 there is a sequence $\{\omega_n\}$ of Martin topology open neighborhoods of Q such that

$$\sum_n \widehat{R}^{U\cap\omega_n}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}(P_0) < \infty.$$

Let $\mu' = \sum_n \nu_n$, where ν_n is swept measure of δ_{P_0} onto $U\cap\omega_n$. Then

$$\int M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\mu'(P) = \sum_n \int M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\nu_n(P) = \sum_n \widehat{R}^{U\cap\omega_n}_{M^a_\Omega(.,Q)}(P_0) < \infty$$

and (2.5) holds since

$$G^a_\Omega\nu_n = \widehat{R}^{U\cap\omega_n}_{G^a_\Omega(P_0,.)} = G^a_\Omega(P_0,.)$$

on the open set $U\cap\omega_n$, so (c) holds.

Proof of the Theorem 4. We observe that (2.6) is dependent of the choice of Q_0 since we may multiply across by $M^a_\Omega(Q_0,Q)$. Thus we assume that $Q_0 = P_0$, and we claim that for any a-potential $G^a_\Omega\mu$

$$\liminf_{P\to Q} \frac{G^a_\Omega\mu(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} = \int M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\mu(P). \tag{4.2}$$

According to Fatou's Lemma, we yield

$$\liminf_{P\to Q} \frac{G^a_\Omega\mu(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} \ge \int M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\mu(P).$$

In addition we know that

$$\liminf_{P\to Q} \frac{G^a_\Omega\mu(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} < \int M^a_\Omega(P,Q)d\mu(P)$$

from Theorem 3 and the fact that $C_n(\Omega)$ is not a-minimally thin at Q. Thus the claim holds.

If E is a-minimally thin at Q, we see from (4.2) and the condition (b) of Theorem 3 that (2.6) holds for some a-potential u. Conversely, if (2.6) holds, then we choose A such that

$$\liminf_{P\to Q, P\in E} \frac{u(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)} > A > \liminf_{P\to Q} \frac{u(P)}{G^a_\Omega(P_0,P)}$$

and define $G_\Omega^a\mu$ to be the a-potential $\min\{u, AG_\Omega^a(P_0,.)\}$. Then by (4.2)

$$\liminf_{P\to Q, P\in E}\frac{G_\Omega^a\mu(P)}{G_\Omega^a(P_0,P)} = A > \liminf_{P\to Q}\frac{G_\Omega^a\mu(P)}{G_\Omega^a(P_0,P)} = \int M_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(P),$$

and it follows from Theorem 3 that E is a-minimally thin at Q.

Proof of the Theorem 5. We apply the Riesz decomposition theorem to the superfunction $\widehat{R}^E_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)}$ on $C_n(\Omega)$, then we have a positive measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$G_\Omega^a\mu(P) < \infty$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and a non-negative greatest a-harmonic minorant H of $\widehat{R}^E_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)}$ such that

$$\widehat{R}^E_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)} = G_\Omega^a\mu(P) + H. \tag{4.3}$$

We remark that $M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)(P\in C_n(\Omega))$ is a minimal function at ∞. If E is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, then $\widehat{R}^E_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)}$ is a a-potential and hence $H\equiv 0$ on $C_n(\Omega)$. Since

$$\widehat{R}^E_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)}(P) = M_\Omega^a(P,\infty) \tag{4.4}$$

for any $P\in B_E$, we see from (4.3)

$$G_\Omega^a\mu(P) = M_\Omega^a(P,\infty) \tag{4.5}$$

for any $P\in B_E$. We take a sufficiently large R from Lemma 3 such that

$$C_2\frac{W(R)}{V(R)}\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,R)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi) < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Then from (2.1 or 2.2)

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,R)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q) < \frac{1}{4}M_\Omega^a(P,\infty) \tag{4.6}$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega)$ and $r\geq \frac{5}{4}r$, and hence from (4.5)

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega;R,\infty)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q) \geq \frac{3}{4}M_\Omega^a(P,\infty) \tag{4.7}$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in B_E$ and $r\geq\frac{5}{4}r$. Now we divide $G_\Omega^a\mu$ into three parts as follows

$$G_\Omega^a\mu(P) = A_1^{(k)}(P) + A_2^{(k)}(P) + A_3^{(k)}(P) \quad (P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega)), \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$A_1^{(k)}(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q);$$

$$A_2^{(k)}(P)=\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,2^{k-1})} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q)$$

and

$$A_3^{(k)}(P)=\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q).$$

Then we shall show that there exists an integer N such that

$$B_E\cap\overline{I_k(\Omega)}\subset\{P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega):A_1^{(k)}(P)\geq\frac{1}{4}V(r)\varphi(\Theta)\}\ \ (k\geq N). \tag{4.9}$$

When we choose a sufficiently large integer N_1 by Lemma 3 such that

$$\frac{W(2^k)}{V(2^k)}\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,2^k)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)<\frac{1}{4C_2}\ \ (k\geq N_1)$$

and

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)<\frac{1}{4C_2}\ \ (k\geq N_1)$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in\overline{I_k(\Omega)}\cap C_n(\Omega)$, we have from (2.1 or 2.2) that

$$A_2^{(k)}(P)\leq\frac{1}{4}V(r)\varphi(\Theta)\}\ \ (k\geq N_1) \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$A_3^{(k)}(P)\leq\frac{1}{4}V(r)\varphi(\Theta)\}\ \ (k\geq N_1). \tag{4.11}$$

Put

$$N=\max\{N_1,[\frac{\log R}{\log 2}]+2\}$$

For any $P=(r,\Theta)\in B_E\cap\overline{I_k(\Omega)}(k\geq N)$. we have from (4.7), (4.8), (4.10) and (4.11) that

$$A_1^{(k)}(P)\geq\int_{C_n(\Omega;R,\infty)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q)-A_2^{(k)}(P)-A_3^{(k)}(P)\geq\frac{1}{4}V(r)\varphi(\Theta),$$

which shows (4.9).

Since the measure λ_{E_k} is concentrated on B_{E_k} and $B_{E_k}\subset B_E\cap\overline{I_k(\Omega)}$, finally we obtain by (4.9) that

$$\gamma_\Omega^a(E_k)=\int_{C_n(\Omega)}(G_\Omega^a\lambda_{E_k})d\lambda_{E_k}(P)\leq\int_{B_{E_k}} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\lambda_{E_k}(r,\Theta)\leq 4\int_{B_{E_k}} A_1^{(k)}(P)d\lambda_{E_k}(P)$$

$$\leq 4\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})}\left\{\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\lambda_{E_k}(P)\right\}d\mu(Q)$$

$$\leq 4\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi) \quad (k \geq N)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \gamma_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k)W(2^k)V^{-1}(2^k) \lesssim \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)$$

$$= \int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{N-1},\infty)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi) < \infty$$

from Lemma 3, (1.7) and Lemma C.1 in [8 or 10], which gives (2.8).

Next we shall prove the sufficient. Since

$$\widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_{\Omega}(\cdot,\infty)}(Q) = M^a_{\Omega}(Q,\infty)$$

for any $Q \in B_{E_k}$ as in (4.4), we have

$$\gamma_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) = \int_{B_{E_k}} M^a_{\Omega}(Q,\infty)d\lambda_{E_k}(Q) \geq V(2^k)\int_{B_{E_k}} \varphi(\Phi)d\lambda_{E_k}(t,\Phi) \quad (Q=(t,\Phi)\in C_n(\Omega))$$

and hence from (2.1 or 2.2), (1.7) and (1.8)

$$\widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_{\Omega}(\cdot,\infty)}(P) \leq C_2V(r)\varphi(\Theta)\int_{B_{E_k}} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\lambda_{E_k}(t,\Phi)$$

$$\leq C_2V(r)\varphi(\Theta)V^{-1}(2^k)W(2^k)\gamma_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) \tag{4.12}$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega)$ and any integer k satisfying $2^k \geq \frac{5}{4}r$. If we define a measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$d\mu(Q) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d\lambda_{E_k}(Q) & (Q\in C_n(\Omega;[1,\infty)), \\ 0 & (Q\in C_n(\Omega;(0,1)), \end{cases}$$

then from (2.8) and (4.12)

$$G^a_{\Omega}\mu(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_{\Omega}(P,Q)d\mu(Q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_{\Omega}(\cdot,\infty)}(P)$$

is a finite-valued superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ and

$$G^a_{\Omega}\mu(P) \geq \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_{\Omega}(P,Q)d\lambda_{E_k}(Q) = \widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_{\Omega}(\cdot,\infty)}(P) = V(r)\varphi(\Theta)$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in B_{E_k}$, and from (2.1 or 2.2)

$$G^a_{\Omega}\mu(P) \geq C'V(r)\varphi(\Theta) \tag{4.13}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega; 0, 1)$, where

$$C' = C_1 \int_{C_n(\Omega; \frac{5}{4}, \infty)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi) d\mu(t, \Phi). \tag{4.14}$$

If we set

$$E' = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{E_k}, E_1 = E \cap C_n(\Omega; 0, 1) \; and \; C = \min(C', 1),$$

then

$$E' \subset \{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); G_\Omega^a \mu(P) \geq CV(r)\varphi(\Theta)\}.$$

Hence by Lemma 5 E' is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, namely, there is a point $P' \in C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\widehat{R}^{E'}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P') \neq M^a_\Omega(P', \infty).$$

Since E' is equal to E except a polar set, we know that

$$\widehat{R}^{E'}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) = \widehat{R}^{E}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P)$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and hence

$$\widehat{R}^{E}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P') \neq M^a_\Omega(P', \infty).$$

This shows that E is a-minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

Proof of the Theorem 6. Let a subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ be a-rarefied set at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, then there exists a positive superfunction $\upsilon(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $C(\upsilon, a) \equiv 0$ and

$$E \subset H_\upsilon. \tag{4.15}$$

By Lemma 6 we can find two positive measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ and ν on $S_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\upsilon(P) = c_o(\upsilon, a) M^a_\Omega(P, O) + \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G^a_\Omega(P, Q) d\mu(Q) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q) \quad (P \in C_n(\Omega)).$$

Now we set

$$\upsilon(P) = c_o(\upsilon, a) M^a_\Omega(P, O) + B_1^{(k)}(P) + B_2^{(k)}(P) + B_3^{(k)}(P), \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$B_1^{(k)}(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega; 0, 2^{k-1})} G^a_\Omega(P, Q) d\mu(Q) + \int_{S_n(\Omega; 0, 2^{k-1})} \frac{\partial G^a_\Omega(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q);$$

$$B_2^{(k)}(P)=\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})}G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q)+\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu(Q)$$

and

$$B_3^{(k)}(P)=\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)}G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q)$$
$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu(Q)\quad (P\in C_n(\Omega);k=1,2,3,\cdots).$$

First we shall prove the existence of an integer N such that

$$H_\upsilon\cap I_k(\Omega)\subset\{P=(r,\Theta)\in I_k(\Omega);B_2^{(k)}(P)\geq\frac{1}{2}V(r)\} \tag{4.17}$$

for any integer $k(k\geq N)$. Since $\upsilon(P)$ is finite almost everywhere on $C_n(\Omega)$, we may apply Lemmas 3 and 4 to

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)}G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu(Q)\ \mathit{and}\ \int_{S_n(\Omega)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu(Q),$$

respectively, then we can take an integer N such that for any integer $k(k\geq N)$

$$\frac{W(2^{k-1})}{V(2^{k-1})}\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,2^{k-1})}V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{1}{12J_\Omega C_2}; \tag{4.18}$$

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)}W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{1}{12J_\Omega C_2}; \tag{4.19}$$

$$\frac{W(2^{k-1})}{V(2^{k-1})}\int_{S_n(\Omega;0,2^{k-1})}V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{1}{12J_\Omega C_2} \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)}W(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{1}{12J_\Omega C_2}, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$J_\Omega=\sup_{\Theta\in\Omega}\varphi(\Theta). \tag{4.22}$$

Then for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in I_k(\Omega)(k\geq N)$, we have

$$B_1^{(k)}(P)\leq C_2J_\Omega W(r)\int_{C_n(\Omega;0,2^{k-1})}V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)$$
$$+C_2J_\Omega W(r)\int_{S_n(\Omega;0,2^{k-1})}V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{V(r)}{6}$$

from (2.1 or 2.2), (3.1 or 3.2), (4.18) and (4.20), and

$$B_3^{(k)}(P)\leq C_2J_\Omega V(r)\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)}W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)$$

$$+C_2 J_\Omega V(r)\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{k+2},\infty)} W(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu(t,\Phi)\leq\frac{V(r)}{6}$$

from (2.1 or 2.2), (3.1 or 3.2), (4.19) and (4.21). Further we can assume that

$$6\kappa c_o(\upsilon,a)J_\Omega\leq V(r)W^{-1}(r)$$

for any $P=(r,\Theta)\in I_k(\Omega)(k\geq N)$. Hence if $P=(r,\Theta)\in I_k(\Omega)\cap H_\upsilon(k\geq N)$, we obtain

$$B_2^{(k)}(P)\geq\upsilon(P)-\frac{V(r)}{6}-B_1^{(k)}(P)-B_3^{(k)}(P)\geq\frac{V(r)}{2}$$

from (4.16) which gives (4.17).

Next we observe from (4.15) and (4.17) that

$$B_2^{(k)}(P)\geq\frac{1}{2}V(2^k)\quad(k\geq N)$$

for any $P\in E_k$. If we define a function $u_k(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$u_k(P)=2V^{-1}(2^k)B_2^{(k)}(P),$$

then

$$u_k(P)\geq 1\quad(P\in E_k,k\geq N)$$

and

$$u_k(P)=\int_{C_n(\Omega)}G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu_k(Q)+\int_{S_n(\Omega)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu_k(Q)$$

with two measures

$$d\mu_k(Q)=\begin{cases}2V^{-1}(2^k)d\mu(Q) & (Q\in C_n(\Omega;(2^{k-1},2^{k+2}))),\\ 0 & (Q\in C_n(\Omega;(0,2^{k-1}))\cup C_n(\Omega;[2^{k+2},\infty)))\end{cases}$$

and

$$d\nu_k(Q)=\begin{cases}2V^{-1}(2^k)d\nu(Q) & (Q\in S_n(\Omega;(2^{k-1},2^{k+2}))),\\ 0 & (Q\in S_n(\Omega;(0,2^{k-1}))\cup S_n(\Omega;[2^{k+2},\infty))).\end{cases}$$

Hence by applying Lemma 7 to $u_k(P)$, we obtain

$$\lambda_\Omega^a(E_k)\leq 2V^{-1}(2^k)\int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})}V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)$$

$$+2V^{-1}(2^k)\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{k-1},2^{k+2})}V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu(t,\Phi)\quad(k\geq N).$$

Finally we have by (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9)

$$\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} W(2^k)\lambda_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) \lesssim \int_{C_n(\Omega;2^{N-1},\infty)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu(t,\Phi)$$

$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega;2^{N-1},\infty)} W(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_{\Phi}}d\nu(t,\Phi).$$

If we take a sufficiently large N, then the integrals of the right side are finite from Lemmas 3 and 4.

We suppose that a subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W(2^k)\lambda_{\Omega}^{a}(E_k) < \infty,$$

then we apply the second part of Lemma 7 to E_k and get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W(2^k)\left\{\int_{C_n(\Omega)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_k^*(t,\Phi) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_{\Phi}}d\nu_k^*(t,\Phi)\right\} < \infty, \tag{4.23}$$

where μ_k^* and ν_k^* are two positive measures on $C_n(\Omega)$ and $S_n(\Omega)$, respectively, such that

$$\widehat{R}_1^{E_k}(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_{\Omega}^{a}(P,Q)d\mu_k^*(Q) + \int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G_{\Omega}^{a}(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu_k^*(Q). \tag{4.24}$$

Consider a function $\upsilon_0(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ defined by

$$\upsilon_0(P) = \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} V(2^{k+1})\widehat{R}_1^{E_k}(P) \quad (P \in C_n(\Omega)),$$

where

$$E_{-1} = E \cap \{P = (r,\Theta) \in C_n(\Omega); 0 < r < 1\},$$

then $\upsilon_0(P)$ is a superfunction or identically ∞ on $C_n(\Omega)$. We take any positive integer k_0 and represent $\upsilon_0(P)$ by

$$\upsilon_0(P) = \upsilon_1(P) + \upsilon_2(P),$$

where

$$\upsilon_1(P) = \sum_{k=-1}^{k_0+1} V(2^{k+1})\widehat{R}_1^{E_k}(P), \quad \upsilon_2(P) = \sum_{k=k_0+2}^{\infty} V(2^{k+1})\widehat{R}_1^{E_k}(P).$$

Since μ_k^* and ν_k^* are concentrated on $B_{E_k} \subset \overline{E_k} \cap C_n(\Omega)$ and $B'_{E_k} \subset \overline{E_k} \cap S_n(\Omega)$, respectively, we have from (2.1 or 2.2), (3.1 or 3.2), (1.7) and (1.8) that

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_{\Omega}^{a}(P',Q)d\mu_k^*(Q) \leq C_2 V(r')\varphi(\Theta') \int_{C_n(\Omega)} W(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_k^*(t,\Phi)$$

$$\leq C_2 W(2^k)V^{-1}(2^k)V(r')\varphi(\Theta')\int_{C_n(\Omega)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_k^*(t,\Phi)$$

and

$$\int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P',Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu_k^*(Q) \leq C_2 W(2^k)V^{-1}(2^k)V(r')\varphi(\Theta')\int_{S_n(\Omega)} V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi} d\nu_k^*(t,\Phi)$$

for a point $P'=(r',\Theta')\in C_n(\Omega)(r'\leq 2^{k_0+1}$ and any integer $k\leq k_0+2)$. Hence we know by (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9)

$$\upsilon_2(P') \lesssim V(r')\varphi(\Theta')\sum_{k=k_0+2}^{\infty} W(2^k)\int_{C_n(\Omega)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_k^*(t,\Phi)$$
$$+V(r')\varphi(\Theta')\sum_{k=k_0+2}^{\infty} W(2^k)\int_{S_n(\Omega)} V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu_k^*(t,\Phi). \tag{4.25}$$

This and (4.23) show that $\upsilon_2(P')$ is finite and hence $\upsilon_0(P)$ is a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$. To see

$$c(\upsilon_0,a)=\inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)}\frac{\upsilon_0(P)}{M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)}=0, \tag{4.26}$$

we consider the representations of $\upsilon_0(P)$, $\upsilon_1(P)$ and $\upsilon_2(P)$ by Lemma 6

$$\upsilon_0(P)=c(\upsilon_0,a)M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)+c_o(\upsilon_0,a)M_\Omega^a(P,O)+\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu_{(0)}(Q)$$
$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu_{(0)}(Q);$$
$$\upsilon_1(P)=c(\upsilon_1,a)M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)+c_o(\upsilon_1,a)M_\Omega^a(P,O)+\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu_{(1)}(Q)$$
$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu_{(1)}(Q)$$

and

$$\upsilon_2(P)=c(\upsilon_2,a)M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)+c_o(\upsilon_2,a)M_\Omega^a(P,O)+\int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P,Q)d\mu_{(2)}(Q)$$
$$+\int_{S_n(\Omega)}\frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P,Q)}{\partial n_Q}d\nu_{(2)}(Q).$$

It is evident from (4.24)that $c(\upsilon_1,a)=0$ for any k_0. Since $c(\upsilon_0,a)=c(\upsilon_2,a)$ and

$$c(\upsilon_2,a)=\inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)}\frac{\upsilon_2(P)}{M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)}\leq\frac{\upsilon_2(P')}{M_\Omega^a(P',\infty)}\lesssim\sum_{k=k_0+2}^{\infty} W(2^k)\int_{C_n(\Omega)} V(t)\varphi(\Phi)d\mu_k^*(t,\Phi)$$
$$+\sum_{k=k_0+2}^{\infty} W(2^k)\int_{S_n(\Omega)} V(t)t^{-1}\frac{\partial\varphi(\Phi)}{\partial n_\Phi}d\nu_k^*(t,\Phi)\to 0 \ \ (k_0\to\infty)$$

from (4.23) and (4.25), we know $c(\upsilon_0, a) = 0$ which is (4.26). Since $\widehat{R}_1^{E_k} = 1$ on $B_{E_k} \subset \overline{E_k} \cap C_n(\Omega)$, we know

$$\upsilon_0(P) \geq V(2^{k+1}) \geq V(r)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in B_{E_k} (k = -1, 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$. If we set $E' = \cup_{k=-1}^{\infty} B_{E_k}$, then

$$E' \subset H_{\upsilon_0}. \tag{4.27}$$

Since E' is equal to E except a polar set S, we can take another positive superfunction υ_3 on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $\upsilon_3 = G_\Omega^a \eta$ with a positive measure η on $C_n(\Omega)$ and υ_3 is identically ∞ on S. Finally we define a positive superfunction υ on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$\upsilon = \upsilon_0 + \upsilon_3.$$

Since $c(\upsilon_3, a) = 0$, it is easy to see from (4.26) that $c(\upsilon, a) = 0$. In addition we know from (4.27) that $E \subset H_\upsilon$. Thus we complete the proof that subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is a-rarefied at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

Proof of the Theorem 7. By Lemma 6 we have

$$\upsilon(P) = c(\upsilon, a) M_\Omega^a(P, \infty) + c_o(\upsilon, a) M_\Omega^a(P, O) + \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G_\Omega^a(P, Q) d\mu(Q)$$
$$+ \int_{S_n(\Omega)} \frac{\partial G_\Omega^a(P, Q)}{\partial n_Q} d\nu(Q)$$

for a unique positive measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ and a unique positive measure ν on $S_n(\Omega)$, respectively. Then

$$\upsilon_1(P) = \upsilon(P) - c(\upsilon, a) M_\Omega^a(P, \infty) - c_o(\upsilon, a) M_\Omega^a(P, O) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega))$$

also is a positive superfunction on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\inf_{P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\upsilon_1(P)}{M_\Omega^a(P, \infty)} = 0.$$

We shall prove the existence of a-rarefied set E at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\upsilon_1(P) V^{-1}(r) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega))$$

uniformly converges to 0 on $C_n(\Omega)\diagdown E$ as $r\to\infty$. Let $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers ε_i satisfying $\varepsilon_i\to 0$ $i\to\infty$. Put

$$E_i=\{P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega);\upsilon_1(P)\geq\varepsilon_i V(r)\}\ \ (k=1,2,3,\cdots).$$

Then $E_i(k=1,2,3,\cdots)$ is a-rarefied sets at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ and hence by Theorem 6

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}W(2^k)\lambda_\Omega^a((E_i)_k)<\infty\ \ (i=1,2,3,\cdots).$$

We take a sequence $\{q_i\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=q_i}^{\infty}W(2^k)\lambda_\Omega^a((E_i)_k)<\frac{1}{2^i}\ \ (i=1,2,3,\cdots)$$

and set

$$E=\cup_{i=1}^{\infty}\cup_{k=q_i}^{\infty}(E_i)_k.$$

Because λ_Ω^a is a countably sub-additive set function as in H.Aikawa [1] and M.Essén and H.L.Jackson [9], then

$$\lambda_\Omega^a(E_m)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=q_i}^{\infty}\lambda_\Omega^a(E_i\cap I_k\cap I_m)\ \ (m=1,2,3,\cdots).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\lambda_\Omega^a(E_m)W(2^m)&\leq\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=q_i}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\lambda_\Omega^a(E_i\cap I_k\cap I_m)W(2^m)\\&=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=q_i}\lambda_\Omega^a((E_i)_k)W(2^k)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^i}=1,\end{aligned}$$

we know by Theorem 6 that E is a a-rarefied set at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$. It is easy to see that

$$\upsilon(P)V^{-1}(r)\ \ (P=(r,\Theta)\in C_n(\Omega))$$

uniformly converges to 0 on $C_n(\Omega)\diagdown E$ as $r\to\infty$.

Proof of the Theorem 8. Since λ_{E_k} is concentrated on $B_{E_k}\subset\overline{E_k}\cap C_n(\Omega)$, we see

$$\gamma_\Omega^a(E_k)=\int_{C_n(\Omega)}\widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M_\Omega^a(.,\infty)}(P)d\lambda_{E_k}(P)\leq\int_{C_n(\Omega)}M_\Omega^a(P,\infty)d\lambda_{E_k}(P)\leq J_\Omega V(2^{k+1})\lambda_\Omega^a(E_k)$$

and hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\gamma_\Omega^a(E_k)W(2^k)V^{-1}(2^k)\lesssim\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}W(2^k)\lambda_\Omega^a(E_k),$$

which gives the conclusion in the first part from Theorems 5 and 6. To prove the second part, we put $J'_\Omega = \min_{\Theta\in\overline{\Omega'}} \varphi(\Theta)$. Since

$$M^a_\Omega(.,\infty) = V(r)\varphi(\Theta) \geq J'_\Omega V(r) \geq J'_\Omega V(2^k) \quad (P = (r,\Theta) \in E_k)$$

and

$$\widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) = M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)$$

for any $P = (r,\Theta) \in B_{E_k}$, we have

$$\gamma^a_\Omega(E_k) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \widehat{R}^{E_k}_{M^a_\Omega(.,\infty)}(P) d\lambda_{E_k}(P) \geq J'_\Omega V(2^k)\lambda^a_\Omega(E_k).$$

Since

$$J'_\Omega \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^a_\Omega(E_k) W(2^k) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{-1}(2^k) W(2^k) \gamma^a_\Omega(E_k) < \infty$$

from Theorem 5, it follows from Theorem 6 that E is a-rarefied at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

References

[1] H. Aikawa, On the behavior at infinity of non-negative superharmonic functions in a half- space, Hiroshima Math. J., 11 (1981), no.2, 425-441.

[2] V. S. Azarin, Generalization of a theorem of Hayman on subharmonic functions in an m-dimensional cone, Amer. Math. Soc. Translation, (2) 80 (1969), 119-138.

[3] H. Aikawa and M. Essén, Potential theoryselected topics. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1633. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[4] D. H. Armitage and S. J. Gardiner, Classical potential theory, London: Spring-verlag, 2001.

[5] M. Brelot, On Topologies and Boundaries in Potential Theory, Lecture Notes in Math., 175, London: Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[6] M. Cranston, Conditional Brownian motion, Whitney squares and the conditional gauge theorm, Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1988, p. 109-119, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 1989.

[7] M. Cranston, E. Fabes and Z. Zhao, Conditional gauge and potential theory for the Schrödinger operator, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 307 (1988), 415-425.

[8] J. L. Doob, Classical Potential Theory and Its Probabilistic Counterpart, London: Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[9] M. Essén and H. L. Jackson, On the covering properties of certain exceptional sets in a half-space. Hiroshima Math. J. 10 (1980), no. 2, 233-262.

[10] M. Essén and J. L. Lewis, The generalized Ahlfors-Heins theorems in certain d-dimensional cones, Math. Scand. 33(1973), 111-129.

[11] A. Escassut, W. Tutschke and C. C. Yang, Some topics on value distribution and differentility in complex and P-adic analysis. Science Press, Beijng, 2008.

[12] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[13] A. Kheyfits, Dirichlet problem for the Schrödinger operator in a half-space with boundary data of arbitrary growth at infinity, Differential Integral Equations 10(1997), 153-164.

[14] J. Lelong-Ferrand, Étude au voisinage de la frontière des fonctions surharmoniques positives dans un demi-espace, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3), 66(1949), 125-159.

[15] B. Levin and A. Kheyfits, Asymptotic behavior of subfunctions of the stationary Schrödinger operator. Preprint, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211328v1, 2002, 96 pp.

[16] R. S. Martin, Minimal positive harmonic functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(1941), 137-172.

[17] I. Miyamoto and H. Yoshida, Two criterions of Wiener type for minimally thin sets and rarefied sets in a cone. J. Math. Soc.Japan., 54(2002), no.3, 487-512.

[18] L. Naïm, Sur le rôle de la frontière R.S.Martin dans la thoérie du potentiel, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble) 7(1957), 183-281.

[19] L. Qiao, Some researches on(generalized) harmonic and superharmonic functions. Dissertation of Beijing Normal University, Beijng, 2010.

[20] L. Qiao and G. Deng, A theorem of Phragmén Lindelöf type for subfunctions in a cone, Glasg. Math. J. 53(2011), no.3, 599-610.

[21] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, Vol. 3, Acad. Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1970.

[22] G. Rosenblum, M. Solomyak and M. Shubin, Spectral theory of differential operators, VINITI, Moscow, 1989.

[23] B. Simon, Schrödinger semigroups, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1982), 447-526.

[24] G. M. Verzhbinskii and V. G. Maz'ya, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations of the second order close to a boundary. I, Sibirsk. Math. J. (6) 12 (1971), 874-899.

[25] H. Yoshida, Nevanlinna norm of a subharmonic function on a cone or on a cylinder. Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 54 (1987), 267-299.

[26] H. Yoshida, Harmonic majorization of a subharmonic function on a cone or on a cylinder. Pacific J. Math., (2) 148 (1991), 369-395.